

| INT 668|

23 January 1948

#### lemon. With

TO:

Ceneral Pagruder

FECH:

Commander Bonovan

SUBJECT:

Presidential Directive Establishing NTA

Consideration of the Presidential Directive issued yesterday "with regard to the coordination of the foreign intelligence activities of the federal government" has led me to send you a few comments, particularly with respect to questions which may write in the immediate future in regard to interpretation of its provisions.

#### Parement 1

l. Under this paragraph the criterion of all MI action must be whether it is necessary to the planning, development and coordination of Federal foreign intelligence activities... so as to essure the most effective accomplishment of the int lifence mission related to the national security. Every proposal by the DCI to the Authority should be studied to make certain that it lies within, and is calculated to bear out, this mission. Every budget program should carefully point out its relation to the objective. The words are the basic authority of NIA.

2. Since the HIA consists of four members, with the DCI sitting as a non-voting number, a question may arise concerning a two-to-two division of opinion on an agenda. While the HIA could possibly provide for disposition of such matters by its internal rules of procedure (automatic rejection if a anjority in not recurred), almost all such questions would normally. I think, have to be referred to the President. Incidentally, while the DCI is a "non-voting member", I should think



384

Memorandum to General Magruder -- 2

INT 668/2

23 January 1948

that the rules of NIA should provide that his opinion in all such matters should be recorded by the Jeoretary of the NIA (and thus transmitted to the President with the record).

Unile no chalman of NLA is named, governmental custom rould dictate that the Bearstory of State has the right to preside. It may be, however, that he will wrive this privilege in favor of the "personal representative" of the President, especially if the latter is to be a full-time post (as it should properly be).

#### Paragraph 2

This paragraph indicates that the proper title of the new operating agency is the Central Intelligence Group (not the MIX) and all persons (including administrative, secretarial, clerical, etc.) assigned from the three Departments ere members of that Group. It also indicates that the agency is to have no independent employment rights and that all employees are to be hired by one of the three Departments (which presumbly would maintain all basic records) and then assigned over for outy with CIC. This looks like a breeding ground for red tape, lost motion and lack of security.

The further weakness of the paragraph. Is that the agency has no independent funds and is subject to the respective wishes of the three individual Secretaries. There is not even an express provision as to whether a HIA majority vote can direct a member thereof as to the particular contribution in "persons and facilities" which his Department is to make. This pernaps can be remedied by the internal rules of NIA.

The combination of the foregoing means that for all practical purposes the activities of CTG will be subject to the budget heads of the three Departments, since the agency is to subsist only on such funds as are made available to it "within the limits of available appropriations" of the respective Departments. Thereafter there will follow justification to the NIA, the Bureau of the Budget, the President and Congress.

This is a most undesirable situation and I would suggest that at an early moeting the NIA determine that CIG shall possess its own personnel and budget units. The budget unit should be authorized to prepare proper estimates of necessary appropriations for CIG and to deal directly with the Bureau of

UNCLASSIFIED

3ક્ષ્ડ

Memorandum to General Magruder-3 | NT 668/3 23 January 1946

the Budget on the portions of such appropriations which should be properly allocated to the three Departments. This would appear to be the most that can be obtained if the CIR is not to have independent funds.

Under the cresult arrangement, it may well be that the agency is soing to have to justify its existance on three separate occasions if separate sub-consistees of the Souse Appropriations Committee consider the respective appropriations of the three Departments. This would be most innevisable since the nuture of our necessary appropriation language always attracts attention. This should be discussed with the Chairman of the Nowal Appropriations Committee.

#### Paragraph 3

Since all powers of the DCI are made "subject to the existing law", this phrase calumes considerable importance. That law is meant? Does it include international law? Is the unit to be harrassed by other agencies refusing to cooperate upon the ground that to no so might constitute a violation of statutes and executive orders granting them complete authority in certain fields. One such aspect is equarkly raised by Faragraph "8" of the present Directive, which compels Federal agencies to furnish information requested "parsuant to regulations of the NIA" only "within the occor of emisting law and promisential directives". It is constitut how profitable it would be to undertake now a broad law rearch on the question because it would involve a consideration of almost the total structure of the Federal Government. All that can be done is to await the first refusal of cooperation based upon those grounds. If we meanwhile could ascertain from the drafters of the Directive what they had in mind, it might be helpful.

Under Peragraphs "Ja", "Jb" and even "Jd" (the omnibus provision) the only intolligence which the CID is entitled to collect is that relating to or affecting "the national necurity" This applies to the DCI the limitation in Paragraph "I" with respect to the MIL, i. e., all intelligence work undertaken must relate to "national security". Only time will enable us to determine whether this language is an unfortunate limitation upon the CIG. Many national interests other than security can be served by a central intelligence agency submitting information to the policy-makers within the Government.

At a minimum the term "notional security" must be broadly construed from the outset, before a limited concept of the agency's proper functions becomes established throughout the Government.



( INT 668/4

Manorandum to Comeral Magruder -- 4

23 January 1946

As in the original proposal forwarded to President Rocsevels, dissemination in carefully limited to "within the Covernment". Paragraph 10 on accurity is a further admonition. Rigid security along such lines must be maintained, since one leak to outside interests (banking, commercial, stc.) could destroy the agency. As you know, the Tederal has remains deficient in this respect nince there are no effective criminal sanctions applicable to a person improperly disclosing such information. Conviction under the Depionage act is nost difficult. However, you also will comember that repeated oftempts to propose such legislation have invertably failed. The tradition of free speech and suspicion of "government bureamorats hiding the facts" are so deeply imbedded in the American mental climate that a serious outery could be expected whenever such legislation (probably in the form of strengthening the Espichage Act, at least to the extent of the British Official Georets Let) were proposed. Proper selection, training and feir treatment of parasinel remain the practical key to the proclem.

#### Paragraph 4

The use of the term "internal security" (apparently as distinguished from "notional security") in this Paragraph undoubtedly will create continuing problems even within the three Lepartments concerned. I am not clear on what it adds to "police and law enforcement" mince the U. 3, has never had an agency charged with "internal security" in time of peace. It would be the first step toward a police state.

This language, together with Paragraphs "8" and "9" clearly indicates that the MA and DCI obtain from the UBI only such information and cooperation as its Director wishes, unless it is established at the outset that (a) while CIC will not exercise "police, low enforcement or internal security functions" under Paragraph "4", (b) nevertheless certain types of information received by meaning in such matters is "intelligence information relating to the mational security" within the meaning of Paragraph "3".

#### Paragraph 7

In connection with this Persgraph, I attach a copy of a list we draw up some time ago in connection with earlier plans. The list was not intended to be a definitive one and should not be so regarded, but it may be helpful to you. It should be noted that if the FBI is represented on the Intelligence Advisory Board, being adjudged to have "functions related to national security" it should also be so considered within the meaning of

387



Memorandum to General Magrader-3

23 January 1946 .

INT 668/5

Peregraph "8". It would be wise, before a determination is made under Paragraph "7" with respect to any agency, that it be ascertained whother that agency believes that it regularly accures a formation (relating to the matical accuraty (within the meaning of agragan "9").

#### Purcurant a

The refilence in this Farmgraph to regulations of the NIA would lead to to conclude that the future of the agency may well be determined by the scope of its initial regulations. It cannedly would be wise for the HIL to have such basic regulations approved by the freelest.

#### Paragraph 9

The use of the unqualified word "investigations" in this Paragraph abaid uppear to be unfortunate. While it probably was intended only to reasoure anarican citizens that no investigations concerning such persons would be under the Paragraph is so broad that it would appear to ben many of the ordinary factual investigations hade by a a lidering the War. However, alice the err or "Centend" would be the cardinal objection to the gency, it during is well that the provision is inverted and fature interpretation of it to based upon a rule of recoon.

#### Faratrana 10

In its face bals i and respond to be a storement of the responsibility of INI and DCI to maintain close increment security with respect to the information it obtains from other species. However, it could also be construed as a statement that the NLL and DCI have over-all responsibility in the course of its other work for seeing to it that incolligence sources and asthods are properly oriequented throughout the Government. This would include, I assume, over-all supervision of communications, cryptoanslysis, etc. However, such work could be performed in any event under the broad include of Farmgraph "Ib" of the birective.

On 150 Face the new Wemon would appear to be almost completely sependent upon the desire of all government

UNCLASSIFIED

Memorandum to General Magruder-t

25 January 1946 INT

intelligence units to cooperate in its mission. It mossesses no independent funds, no statutory powers, no rimple and coherent organization. It floats around accommon in the executive Orfice of the Fresident, with at least three vital umbilical cards. Its powers are so broadly defined as to lead to constitual blokering over what is "intelligence relating to the actional security", "the national intelligence issue.", instead socurity", "describental involligence", "existing law", etc.

But Mile more authority one independence are assirable, in final analysis a control intulligence agency will always be largely dependent upon the cooperation of other departments. The present Directive is advantageous in that the breakth of its language may be used to advantage and describe actuals may have aroused a storm of process which would crush the agency at the vary outset. The present directive and entoics the unit to feel its evolutionary way and hundle obstacles only in such order as it deems best.

Its depositioner upon cooperation of other agonales to such that from the output its enjective must be to give more to once when government unit hand it receives. And that should normally to cohieved since the principal reason for a contral intelligence agoney is that a properly assembled whole is greater than the out of its original parts.

JACK B. DOMOVIN COMMENTA, UNITE

389

## UNCLASSINED

TATELL IGHTER	U. S. COVIDERATION	117 - 21.16x alto 1 ea 1 130 de 2 meres
0.13	imparaiont of Justice:	THI
2-2		Imalization & Industrian- tion (including Alien Enony Control)
Amili		Border letrol Allen Property Custodian
In	the state of the s	Paroca Carehal Caneral (Lucading CIC (ever- sees) end old (within
(inn uj) sensējītannī ping (senco		Otvillan Forsenal Med-
Lurine Invillence		Inpoctor General
state Injustinant	Ravy Leptertaints	Clvillen Permonnal Sec-
Trousury Impartment (comania)		Office of havel officer fractions
FEA (ac.monto)		Novy Plant Protective convice Secret Survice
Foderal Commiscations Comminator	Technicy Department:	Buresu of Internal Revenue Office of Courtmetor Intelligence Unit



IM 668 7

Jefective Jervice
U. S. Pariella Comfesson
Office of Comfesson
Inhile Houlth Service
(Federal Security Agency)
Old
(may unit)

INT 668/8

TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL

We as G NEGARITY

definitions of Interiors thetfound form allog has solvention anthority

l teachlaneous;

Ulstrict or Columbia ; olice (IVII pervice